DAVID G. SPIVAK (SBN 179684)

david@spivaklaw.com

THE SPIVAK LAW FIRM

16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 203

Encino, CA 91436

Telephone (818) 582-3086

Facsimile (818) 582-2561

Attorneys for Plaintiff, DAMIEN COX, and all others similarly situated

(Additional Counsel on Following Page)

CONFORMED COPY
OFFICIAL FILLS
Superior Court of Castornia
County of Los Angules

CH 2 2019

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Stephanie Chung, Deputy

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DAMIEN COX, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and as an "aggrieved employee" on behalf of other "aggrieved employees" under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

AAMP OF FLORIDA, INC., a Florida corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: BC678015

[PROPOSED] ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Action filed:

October 2, 2017

Dept.

SSC-1, Hon. Daniel J. Buckley



Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Bt. Ste \$42<u>203</u> Encino CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

LAWRENCE CAMPITIELLO (SBN 110274)

lcampitiello@cahillcampitiello.com

MADELINE CAHILL (SBN 116235)

mcahill@cahillcampitiello.com

CAHILL & CAMPITIELLO LLP

5740 Fleet St., Ste 140

Carlsbad, CA 92008

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

Telephone (442) 777-5700

Attorneys for Defendant,

AAMP OF FLORIDA, INC.

SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Bl. Ste \$42203 Encino CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

3 4

5

6

7

9

10 11 12

13 14

15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Plaintiff Damien Cox's (hereafter referred to as "Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") was considered by the Court, the Honorable Daniel J. Buckley presiding. The Court having considered the Motion, the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement"), and supporting papers, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement filed as an Exhibit to the Motion for Preliminary Approval. All terms herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement. The Court has determined there is sufficient evidence to preliminarily determine that (a) the terms of the Settlement appear to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Settlement Class and (b) the Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court will make a determination at the hearing on the motion for final approval of class action settlement (the "Final Approval Hearing") as to whether the Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable to the Settlement Class.
- 2. For purposes of this Preliminary Approval Order, the "Settlement Class" means all persons who are currently employed, or formerly have been employed, by AAMP of Florida, Inc., a Florida corporation ("Defendant" or "AAMP of Florida") as current and former nonexempt hourly employees (collectively "Class Members"), who worked in California anytime during the Class Period. The "Class Period" shall mean the period of time from October 2, 2013, through February 1, 2019. Defendant estimates that as of February 1, 2019, there were 61 potential Settlement Class Members. The "Effective Date" means the date by which this Agreement is approved by the Court by entry of the Judgment and the Judgment becomes Final. The Judgment becomes "Final" when the later of the following events occurs: (1) the period for filing any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding opposing the Settlement has elapsed without any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding having been filed; (2) any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding opposing the Settlement has been dismissed finally and conclusively with no right by any appellant or objector to pursue further remedies or relief; or (3) any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding has upheld the Judgment with no right by any appellant or objector to pursue further remedies or relief. In this regard, the Settlement shall not become effective until the Court's Judgment granting final approval of the Settlement is

ţ

- 3. This action is provisionally certified pursuant to section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and Rule 3.760, et seq. of the California Rules of Court as a class action for purposes of settlement only with respect to the proposed Settlement Class.
- 4. The Court hereby preliminarily finds that the Settlement was the product of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations conducted at arm's length by the Parties. In making this preliminary finding, the Court considered the nature of the claims set forth in the pleadings, the amounts and kinds of benefits which shall be paid pursuant to the Settlement, the allocation of Settlement proceeds to the Settlement Class, and the fact that the Settlement represents a compromise of the Parties' respective positions. The Court further preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual Class Member. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement was entered into in good faith.
- 5. The Court finds that the dates set forth in the Settlement for mailing and distribution of the Class Notice meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto, and directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the Settlement Class as set forth in the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:
 - a. By Nov 25, 2019 Defendant shall provide CPT Group, Inc., the appointed Settlement Administrator, with: (a) An electronic database of all Class Members, last known mailing address, Social Security number and Defendant's employee identification number ("Class Members' Data"); (b) Corresponding to each Class Member's name, Defendant shall provide a figure indicating the total number of Work Weeks during the Class Period in which that Class Member was employed by Defendant. That number of Work Weeks shall be referred to as that Class Member's "Individual Work Weeks;" (c) If any of the Class Members' Data are unavailable to Defendant, Defendant will so inform Class Counsel and the Parties will make their best

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- c. Returned Class Notice. If a Class Notice is returned because of an incorrect address, the Settlement Administrator will promptly, and not later than ten (10) days from receipt of the returned Class Notice, search for a more current address for the Class Member and re-mail the Class Notice to the Class Member. The Settlement Administrator will use the Class Members' Data and otherwise work with Defendant's Counsel and Class Counsel to find a more current address. The Settlement Administrator will be responsible for taking reasonable steps, consistent with its agreed-upon job parameters, court orders, and fee, to trace the mailing address of any Class Member for whom a Class Notice is returned as undeliverable by the U.S. Postal Service. These reasonable steps shall include the tracking of all undelivered mail; performing address searches for all mail returned without a forwarding address; and promptly re-mailing to Class Members for whom new addresses are found. If the Class Notice is re-mailed, the Settlement Administrator will note for its own records and notify Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel of the date and address of each such re-mailing as part of a weekly status report provided to the Parties
- d. **Declaration of Settlement Administrator.** Not later than twenty-one (21)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator will provide the Parties for filing with the Court a declaration of due diligence setting forth its compliance with its obligations under this Agreement. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator will supplement its declaration of due diligence if any material changes occur from the date of the filing of its prior declaration.

- e. Requests for Exclusion from Settlement; and Objections to Settlement.

 Class Members may submit requests to be excluded from the effect of the Settlement, or objections to the Settlement, pursuant to the following procedures:
 - i. Request for Exclusion from Settlement. A Class Member may request to be excluded from the effect of this Agreement, and any payment of amounts under this Agreement, by timely mailing a letter to the Settlement Administrator stating that the Class Member wants to be excluded from this Action. This letter must include the Class Member's name, address, telephone number, and signature. To be valid and timely, the request to be excluded must be postmarked by the date specified in the Class Notice (Feb 7, 2029) or sixty (60) days from the initial mailing of the Class Notice by the Settlement Administrator). A Class Member who properly submits a valid and timely request to be excluded from the Action shall not receive any payment of any kind in connection with this Agreement or this Action, shall not be bound by or receive any benefit of this Agreement, and shall have no standing to object to the Settlement. A request for exclusion must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided on the Class Notice. The Settlement Administrator shall transmit the request for exclusion to counsel for the Parties as follows:

To Class Counsel:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

To Defense Counsel:

David G. Spivak, Esq. The Spivak Law Firm 16530 Ventura Blvd, Ste. 312203 Encino, CA 91436 Lawrence Campitiello, Esq. Madeline Cahill, Esq. 5740 Fleet St., Ste 140 Carlsbad, CA 92008

Class Member who does not request exclusion from the Action and who wishes to object to the Settlement should submit an objection in writing to the Settlement Administrator by Fol. 2 2020, or sixty (60) days after the Settlement Administrator mails the Class Notice, which sets forth the grounds for the objection and the other information required by this paragraph. The objection should be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided on the Class Notice. The Settlement Administrator shall transmit the objections to counsel for the Parties as follows:

To Class Counsel:

To Defense Counsel:

David G. Spivak, Esq. The Spivak Law Firm 16530 Ventura Blvd, Ste. 312203 Encino, CA 91436

Lawrence Campitiello, Esq. Madeline Cahill, Esq. Cahill & Campitiello LLP 5740 Fleet St., Ste 140 Carlsbad, CA 92008

The written objection should state the objecting Class Member's full name, address, and the approximate dates of his or her employment with Defendant. The written objection should state the basis for each specific objection and any legal support in clear and concise terms. The written objection also should state whether the Class Member intends to formally intervene and become a party of record in the action, and upon formally intervening, appear and argue at the Final Approval Hearing. However, the objectors will be provided with the opportunity to speak at the final approval hearing regardless of

5

whether they have filed an appearance or submitted a written opposition beforehand.

If the objecting Class Member does not formally intervene in the action and/or the Court rejects the Class Member's objection, the Class Member will still be bound by the terms of this Agreement.

- f. Report. Not later than fourteen (14) days after the deadline for submission of requests for exclusion, the Settlement Administrator will provide the Parties with a complete and accurate list of all Class Members who sent timely requests to be excluded from the Action and all Class Members who objected to the settlement.
- 6. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice in substantially the form attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement.
- 7. The Court approves, for settlement purposes only, David Spivak of The Spivak Law Firm as Class Counsel.
- 8. The Court approves, for settlement purposes only, Damien Cox as the Class Representative.
 - 9. The Court approves CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 10. The Court preliminarily approves Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and costs subject to final review by the Court.
- 11. The Court preliminarily approves the estimated Settlement Administrator costs payable to the Settlement Administrator subject to final review by the Court.
- 12. The Court preliminarily approves Plaintiff's Class Representative Payment subject to final review by the Court.
- Department 1 of the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California to consider the fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the proposed Settlement preliminarily approved by this Preliminary Approval Order, and to consider the application of Class Counsel for attorneys' fees and costs and the Class Representative Payment to the Class Representative. The notice of motion and all briefs and materials in support of the motion for final approval of class action settlement

and motion for attorneys' fees and litigation costs shall be served and filed with this Court on or before Mw 24, 2000.

- 14. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file a final approval order and judgment, or if the Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement, does not occur for any reason, the proposed Settlement that is the subject of this order, and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation, as more specifically set forth in the Settlement.
- 15. The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing from time to time without further notice to members of the Class. The Plaintiff shall give prompt notice of any continuance to Settlement Class Members who object to the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE HONORABLE DANIEL J. BUCKLEY, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT